



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/077,414   | 02/15/2002      | Yoshiaki Hayashi     | 450100-4454.2       | 4147              |  |
| 20999 7  | 7590 06/16/2006 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER          |  |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. |                 |                      | CHEVALIE            | CHEVALIER, ROBERT |  |
| NEW YORK,  |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |  |
| ,  |                 |                      | 2621                |                   |  |

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |                |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| 065 - A - 45 - 11 C - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -  | 10/077,414   | HAYASHI, YOSHIA   | AKI            |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                |  |  |  |
|  | Bob Chevalier  | 2621  |                |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | dress          |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | l. lety filed the mailing date of this color (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe   | ebruary 2002.  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.   |   |                |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  |  | secution as to the  | merits is      |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | ·  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 12-14 and 23 is/are pending in the app   | olication.   |   |                |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14 and 23</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement   |   |                |  |  |  |
| o) Glaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/are   | : a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected   | d to by the Examin  | ier.           |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | ected to. See 37 CF   | R 1.121(d).    |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>   | have been received in Application  | on No   |                |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |   |                |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal Pa  |   | -152\          |  |  |  |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  | atom Application (FTO   | - 1 <i>32)</i> |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |   |                |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/077,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 12, 13, and 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sata et al in view of Official Notice.

Sata et al discloses a video recording/reproducing apparatus that shows all the limitations recited in claims 12, and 23, including the feature of the first recording and/or reproducing means which records and/or reproduces the digital information on a nonlinear-access recording medium (See Sata et al's Figure 4, component 4), the feature of the second recording and/or reproducing means which records and/or reproduces the information on a tape recording medium having a recording greater than or equal to the recording capacity of the nonlinear-access recording medium (See Sata et al's Figure 4, component 8), and the feature of the control means controlling the first and second recording/reproducing means such that the information recorded on the nonlinear-access recording medium is recorded onto the tape recording medium as specified in the present claims 12, and 23. (See the capability of recording the digital information reproduced from the recording medium 4 onto the VTR 8 as shown in Sata et al's Figure 4).

Although Sata et al discloses the capability of recording on a tape recording medium the information reproduced from the nonlinear-access recording medium (Sata

Art Unit: 2621

et al's Figure 4, component 8), Sata et al fails to specifically disclose that the information recorded on the tape recording medium is digital information as recited in claims 12, and 23.

Examiner takes Official Notice in that it is notoriously well known in the video recording/reproducing art to have a tape recording means arranged in a manner to record digital information on the tape recording medium as specified in the present claims 12, and 23.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Sata et al's apparatus wherein the tape recording/reproducing means provided thereof (See Sata et al's Figure 4, component 8) would incorporate the capability of digitally recording the information signals on the tape recording medium in the same conventional manner as is well known in the prior art. Examiner has taken Official Notice. The motivation is to increase the quality of the recorded signal on the recording medium as suggested in the prior art.

It is further to be noted that the claimed feature of the digital information recorded on the nonlinear-access recording medium being reproduced in a serial order indicated by a serializing command and recording the same onto the tape recording medium would be inherently present in the proposed combination of Sata et al and Official Notice indicated above. Because, Sata et al discloses a disc recording medium wherein recorded data can be reproduced at any desired order. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that performing reproduction in a serial order would

Art Unit: 2621

forcedly be included in the Sata et al's apparatus. (See Sata et al's Figure 4, component 4).

With regard to claim 13, the feature of the plurality of input ports and output ports and the digital information being introduced by the input ports being recorded onto the nonlinear-access recording medium, the digital information reproduced from the nonlinear-access recording medium being released by the output ports under the control of the controller as specified thereof is present in Sata et al. (See Sata et al's Figure 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sata et al and Official Notice as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

The proposed combination of Sata et al and Official Notice indicated above does disclose a video recording/reproducing apparatus that shows substantially the same limitations recited in claim 14, including the feature of recording and reproducing digital information on/from a tape recording medium as specified in the present claim 14.

The proposed combination fails to specifically disclose the feature of the plurality of bins for stacking tape recording mediums and recording/reproducing devices and the capability of recording/reproducing the digital information on the tape recording medium,

unload the tape after performing the recording operation and stack the tape on the bin as specified in the present claim 14.

Examiner takes Official Notice in that it is notoriously well known in the video recording/reproducing art to have a recording means including a plurality of tape recording mediums loaded in a plurality of bins and the capability of supplying said tape recording medium to a recording/reproducing means for the purpose of performing recording and reproducing operation on the plurality of tape mediums as specified in the present claim 14.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the proposed combination of Sata et al and Official Notice indicated above wherein the tape recording means provided thereof (See Sata et al's Figure 4, component 8) would incorporate the capability of the plurality of bins for stacking tape recording mediums and recording/reproducing devices and the capability of recording/reproducing the digital information on the tape recording medium, unload the tape after performing the recording operation and stack the tape on the bin in the same conventional manner as is well known in the video recording/reproducing art. Examiner has taken Official Notice. The motivation is to increase the recording density as is suggested in the prior art.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 571-272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,414 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

B. Chevalier June 9, 2006.